

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/591,052	SEAL, THOMAS JOSEPH	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	COLIN W. SLIFKA	1732	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) COLIN W. SLIFKA.

(2) Ross E. Breyfogle.

**Date of Interview:** 16 May 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

24-27, 55, and 59

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussed amending independent claim 59 to be in condition for allowance so as to not cancel claims 59-62. Similarities between independent claims 55 and 59 were also discussed, and in the end it was agreed upon that the subject matter of the claims was not identical at least because claim 55 refers to "an identified portion," while 59 refers to "at least one identified portion."*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/COLIN W SLIFKA/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1732

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:20 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)